

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 96-1047**

---

JANE DOE, individually and as next friend of  
her minor son; K.D.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

versus

EDWARD J. ALFRED, individually and in his  
capacity as a teacher at Jefferson Elementary  
Center; RONALD V. STOOPS, individually and in  
his capacity as a teacher at Jefferson Ele-  
mentary Center; WILLIAM D. STAATS, Doctor;  
DAN CURRY, Doctor, individually and in his  
capacity as Superintendent of Schools of Wood  
County; THE WOOD COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION, a  
public corporation,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern Dis-  
trict of West Virginia, at Parkersburg. Charles H. Haden II, Chief  
District Judge. (CA-95-761-6)

---

Submitted: February 6, 1996

Decided: March 19, 1996

---

Before HALL, MURNAGHAN, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

---

Michael J. Sharley, Westover, West Virginia, for Appellants.  
Claudia West Bentley, Robert James Kent, BOWLES, RICE, MCDAVID,  
GRAFF & LOVE, Martinsburg, West Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellants appeal the district court's order dismissing without prejudice Appellants' statutory and related constitutional claims for denial of a free and appropriate public education. We grant the Appellees' motion and dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (1988) this court has jurisdiction over appeals from final orders. A final order is one which disposes of all issues in dispute as to all parties. It "ends the litigation on the merits and leaves nothing for the court to do but execute the judgment." Catlin v. United States, 324 U.S. 229, 233 (1945). As the order appealed from is not a final order, it is not appealable under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. The district court has not directed entry of final judgment as to particular claims or parties under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b), nor is the order appealable under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (1988). Finally, the order is not appealable as a collateral order under Cohen v. Beneficial Industrial Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED